

**REMARKS**

This Amendment "A" is submitted in response to the Office Action dated June 28, 2005, wherein claims 1, 2, 6, 10, 12, 13, 17 and 21 were rejected as being anticipated by the prior art, and claims 3 – 5, 7 – 9, 11, 14 – 16, 18 – 20, and 22 were said to be allowable, but were objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim. In response to the Office Action, applicant has: (1) cancelled the rejected claims, without prejudice to refiling them in a continuation application, (2) has rewritten the remaining claims to put them in allowable form, and (3) has added new dependent claims 23 – 28. Claims 3 – 5, 7 – 9, 11, 14 – 16, 18 – 20, 22 – 28 are pending. It is believed that the application is now in condition for allowance, and such action earnestly solicited.


*Claim Amendments and New Claims*

Claims 3, 7, 8, 9, 11, 14, 18, 19, 20 and 22 have been rewritten in independent form by incorporating the limitations of their respective base claims. Claims 4, 5, 15 and 16, have been left in dependent form because their respective base claims have been amended. New dependent claims 23 – 28 have been added to claim use of specific materials described in the Specification.

*Conclusion*

It is respectfully submitted that all of the pending claims, as amended, are now in condition for allowance, and such action is earnestly solicited. The examiner is invited to call the undersigned at the number listed below if there are any remaining questions, or if such action might advance the prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

  
David Schnapf  
Registration No. 31566

September 28, 2005

SHEPPARD MULLIN  
Richter & HAMPTON LLP  
Four Embarcadero Center, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111-4106  
Telephone (415) 434-9100  
Facsimile (415) 434-3947